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ABSTRACT
Generally in adults, most foreign body ingestion occurs accidentally, but may be as a result of contributory factors such as psychiatric disorders, mental retardation, alcohol consumption, and an edentulous state. The ingested foreign bodies usually pass uneventfully through the gastrointestinal tract within 1 week. Perforation occurs in less than 1% of all patients. Patients may present with vague abdominal pain with no known history of foreign body ingestion, clinicians should suspect such condition in the presence of some predisposing factors, and a surgical consultation is necessary. Herein, we report two cases of bowel perforations by ingesting foreign body who didn’t recall the ingestion that required surgical intervention.
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INTRODUCTION
Generally in adults, most foreign body ingestion occurs accidentally, but may be as a result of contributory factors such as psychiatric disorders, mental retardation, alcohol consumption, and an edentulous state. Generally patients do not recall ingesting a foreign body and this is usually detected on radiological imaging studies, during surgery or in the pathological examination of the surgical specimens [3]. Hereby, we are presenting two interesting cases:
The first case was admitted for elective laparoscopic anterior resection of a diverticular mass and repair of colovesical fistula, found to have a small bowel perforation by a sharp pointed chicken bone (Figure 1, 2, 3) detected by the CT scan in the post-operative period.
The second case presented with abdominal pain to our emergency department, found to have small bowel perforation by a bamboo stick (Figure 5, 6).
These case reports intend to draw attention towards possibility of silent foreign body ingestion in patients presenting with vague abdominal pain with no known history of foreign body ingestion, clinician should suspect such conditions in the presence of some predisposing factors and a surgical consultation is usually necessary.

CASE SERIES

Case 1
63 year old male was admitted for elective Laparoscopic anterior resection of sigmoid diverticular mass and repair of colovesical fistula on the background of chronic abdominal pain.
His past medical and surgical history included chronic obstructive airway disease, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, appendectomy, cholecystectomy and recurrent sigmoid diverticulitis.
He was a retired chef and had top dentures.
He had an uncomplicated procedure. On day 10 post-operative his Jackson drain was removed.
On day 12 post-operative he had a plain abdominal x-ray and CT scan for investigation and assessment of prolonged post-operative paralytic ileus. The abdominal x-ray was reported normal, however the CT scan was reported query remains of drain tube tip inside the abdominal cavity (Figure 1, Figure 2), and a small incisional hernia at the port site. This case was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, and a decision was made to remove the foreign body and repair the incisional hernia at the same time. Initially it was a laparoscopic approach but after being unable to locate the foreign body it was converted to an open midline laparotomy.

The small bowel was traced through its entire course, a chicken bone was found sticking out of the jejunum posteriorly with the jejunal loop adherent to the posterior abdominal wall with formation a fistula. The chicken bone (Figure 3) was removed and the jejunum was primarily repaired with interrupted sutures, followed by repair of the incisional hernia.

His post-operative recovery was complicated by anemia, hospital acquired pneumonia, and electrolyte imbalances. He was discharged in a good clinical condition.

Case 2

32 year old male presented to emergency department with four day history of central abdominal pain, associated with nausea.

His past surgical history included appendicectomy and vasectomy. On examination, his vital signs were stable; on abdominal examination he had generalized abdominal tenderness mostly central with no signs of peritonism. His blood tests result revealed Hb 149, WCC 12.3 Neut 9.0, and CRP 137. Blood gases, Urea, creatinine, Liver Function Tests, and lipase were unremarkable. A plain abdominal x-ray was reported normal, however, a focal area of inflammatory change surrounding a loop of ileum was detected on the CT scan which was reported most likely to be a Meckel's diverticulitis [Figure 4]. On the basis of imaging findings it was decided to perform an emergency surgical intervention.
Initially a laparoscopic approach was performed, during the procedure an inflammatory knot of mid small bowel with a localised distal ileal perforation from a fistulating bamboo stick was detected [Figure 5, 6], also the small bowel was tethered on the mesentery. Therefore, the procedure was converted to a midline laparotomy. A wedge excision of the small bowel and a primary end to end anastomosis were performed.

Post-operative recovery of this patient was complicated by a pulmonary embolism. He was transferred to a tertiary hospital for management of the pulmonary embolism. Eventually he was discharged in a good condition.

DISCUSSION
Swallowing of foreign bodies is most common in children aged between 6 months and 6 years [1, 2]. In adults, IFB are usually seen in patients with psychiatric disorders, mental retardation, alcohol consumption, and an edentulous state. Elderly people may have trouble using dentures and as the sense of feeling in the palate is decreased, they may become prone to FB ingestion. Generally patients do not recall ingesting a foreign body and this is usually detected on radiological imaging studies, during surgery or in the pathological examination of the surgical specimens [3]. In our both cases the patient did not recall the incidence.

IFB usually presents with non-specific symptoms. Abdominal pain is the most common complaint (95%), followed by fever (81%) and localized peritonitis (39%). The other symptoms that may occur are nausea, vomiting, hematochezia and melena. Preoperative diagnosis remains a challenge and therefore it must be considered in susceptible cases with presence of some predisposing factors.

The most common foreign bodies are food stuffs or their parts, such as fish bones, bone fragments or vegetable bezoars and toothpicks [5]. Although generally the ingested bones are digested or uneventfully pass through the gastrointestinal tract within 1 wk., complications such as impaction, perforation or obstruction may rarely occur [6, 7, and 8].

Gastrointestinal perforation occurs in less than 1% of all patients. The possibility of perforation is associated with the length and sharpness of the swallowed object [6, 7, and 8]. Previous bowel disease such as, history of abdominal or bowel operation,
inflammatory bowel disease, bowel tumors, diverticular disease, abdominal wall hernias and a blind loop of bowel increase the risk of perforation. Ingested sharp bones, fish and chicken bones can lead to intestinal perforation and peritonitis. Goh et al [10] state that most of the foreign bodies causing gastrointestinal tract perforation were of a food origin, such as fish bones, chicken bones, bone fragments or shells.

Bowel perforations can occur at any part of the intestinal tract, the most common sites are pylorus, ileocecal region and rectosigmoid junction [9]. Goh et al [10] reported terminal ileum perforation in 38.6% cases. Yilmaz et al reported jejunal perforation is less frequent approximately 14.3%.

Foreign bodies such as fish bones and chicken bones are dense enough to show in a plain x ray, but most of the time is unidentified as concealed by fluids and soft tissue mass. These can be further investigated by using computed tomography (CT) scanning. As Coulier et al [9] show the role of CT scan in the evaluation of IFB, with sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 91%. In our cases, case 1 patient AXR and case 2 abdominal CT scan re-evaluated by the radiologist after the operation, was unable to identify the foreign body.

Absolute indication for surgery includes perforation and obstruction. Surgery is also indicated in complications that cannot resolves endoscopically or unsuccessful endoscopic attempts or in cases the ingested foreign bodies have remained in the same place for longer than a week.

The appropriate surgical intervention is depending according to the pathological finding and anatomic location of perforation, as laparoscopy is less invasive compare to laparotomy, it is the first option.

**CONCLUSION**

Silent foreign body ingestion in patients presenting with vague abdominal pain with no known history of foreign body ingestion is not uncommon. As reported, in our both cases patients didn’t recall IFB; therefore it must be considered in susceptible cases. Clinicians should suspect such conditions in the presence of some predisposing factors, and a surgical consultation is usually necessary.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: The arrow shows the foreign body

Figure 2: The arrow shows the foreign body

Figure 3: Sharp pointed chicken bone

Figure 4: Shows an inflammatory change surrounding a loop of ileum

Figure 5: Shows sharp bamboo stick perforating ileum

Figure 6: Shows sharp bamboo stick
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