Intraoral kinking of an endotracheal tube during position change in a patient with tracheal deviation
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The maintenance of ventilation through the endotracheal tube during surgery is essential, and failure to do so can cause serious consequences. Case Report: We experienced a case of intraoral kinking of the polyvinyl chloride, single-lumen endotracheal tube (ETT) in a patient with severe tracheal deviation caused by pulmonary tuberculosis. During surgery, the operation table was tilted upward and to the left, which caused change in patient's head position and led to intraoral kinking. Conclusion: If there is a severe tracheal deviation expected to cause deformity of the ETT, it is recommended to use a reinforced tube.
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INTRODUCTION

Securing and maintaining a passage from anesthesia machine to a patient’s respiratory tract is a basic element for the safety of the patient. Ventilatory failure caused by airway obstruction during surgery may result in serious complications such as brain damage, and must be detected and resolved early. Airway obstruction can be caused by factors such as closure of the breathing circuit, existence of a foreign body in the tube, or kinking of the tube [1]. Kinking of the endotracheal tube can be caused by improper positioning of the patient during surgery [2–4] and thermal softening of tube [5, 6].

We report a case of a patient with a severe tracheal deviation who underwent insertion of a polyvinyl chloride (PVC), single-lumen endotracheal tube (ETT) and experienced intraoral kinking after a change in position.

CASE REPORT

A 76-year-old female with a height 150 cm and a weight 41 kg was scheduled to undergo laparoscopic cholecystectomy under the diagnosis of chronic cholecystitis. She had been diagnosed with pulmonary...
tuberculosis 11 years prior. Preoperative laboratory results showed no specific findings, the chest X-ray revealed a destruction of the right lung with tracheal deviation (Figure 1), and pulmonary function test (PFT) result showed a small airway obstructive lung defect.

General anesthesia was induced with injection of propofol intravenously and infusion of remifentanil. Rocuronium was used as a muscle relaxant, followed by intubation with a conventional PVC, single-lumen ETT (Hi-Lo oral/nasal tracheal tube cuffed, COVIDIEN™ Mallinckrodt™, Ireland, ID 6.5 mm). Although there was slight resistance during intubation, auscultation confirmed clear lung sounds in both lungs, and the ETT was fixed at a depth of 22 cm from the incisor. Anesthesia was maintained with 4–5% desflurane and remifentanil infusion of 0.08–0.125 μg/kg/min. The ventilator settings were as follows: tidal volume 260 ml, respiratory rate 12 per min, positive end-expiratory pressure 5 cmH₂O, and fraction of inspired oxygen concentration 0.5. Esophageal temperature was 36.0°C.

Eight minutes into surgery, the position of the patient was changed from supine to reverse-Trendelenburg with a slight tilt to the left. Suddenly, monitoring alarm for airway pressure was activated with an increase in peak airway pressure from 19 cmH₂O to 35 cmH₂O and a decrease in tidal volume to 50 ml. End-tidal CO₂ monitoring first showed obstructive pattern followed by a sudden fall in the value to 10 mmHg, and then was not detected at all. The ventilator setting was converted to manual ventilation, but tidal volume could not be attained. There were no signs of tube blockage outside of the mouth or damage to the circuit. Breathing circuit and extraoral portion of the tube were checked with no signs of obstruction or kinking.

The patient’s head was noted to be slightly flexed, so we extended it, which improved tidal volume to 200 ml with a respiratory rate of 20 per minute and allowed measurement of end-tidal CO₂ partial pressure at 60 mmHg. One minute later, however, the airway pressure increased again, and sufficient tidal volume was not maintained. Manual ventilation was attempted again; there was no improvement and obstruction was observed. We attempted to insert a suction catheter into the tube, but it would not pass beyond the tube midline.

Using a laryngoscope, we examined the oral cavity and found that the ETT was bent at the anterior part of the epiglottis (Figure 2). A request was made to stop the surgery, and re-intubation using a reinforced ETT (Lo-Contour oral/nasal tracheal tube cuffed, COVIDIAN™ Mallinckrodt™, Ireland, ID 6.5 mm) was performed. After tube exchange, surgery was resumed, and there were no other unusual events through the end of the operation.

**DISCUSSION**

Sezkely et al. reported that, in an analysis of endotracheal tube (ETT) problems, endobronchial

![Figure 1: Chest posteroanterior X-ray showing a destruction of the right lung with severe tracheal deviation.](image1)

![Figure 2: Endotracheal tube (ETT) removed from the patient: (A) Kinked ETT (arrow), and (B) Kinked in an unusual direction (arrow head).](image2)
intubation was the most common, followed by obstruction and kinking, esophageal intubation, disconnection, leakage, and cuff hernia [1]. Kinking of the ETT occurs mainly outside of the mouth, with occurrence in the intraoral portion rarely reported [1, 5, 7, 8].

Partial or complete kinking of the tube can occur depending on the vector direction of the force applied to the fixed tube in the intraoral region, which is mostly affected by patient positioning [2–4, 9]. Prone positioning during posterior fossa surgery with neck flexion may cause tube kinking [2], particularly when the atlanto-axial joint is maximally flexed [4]. It has been reported that even in supine position, intraoral tube kinking occurred thirty minutes after intubation, causing ventilation impossible. Although tube patency was maintained, increase in the tube temperature caused softening of the tube eventually causing kinking [6]. Occurrence of kinking might increase with vector of forces according to position and thermal softening of the tube.

In care of patients, it is important to anticipate complications and use preventive measures to prevent the risk of kinking. There are reasons why we used single lumen tube instead of reinforced tube. After evaluating patient’s chest X-ray for tracheal deviation, it seemed that use of single lumen tube would not be a problem. Also, our nation provides all citizens with NHI (National Health Insurance). NHI controls all aspects of medical services, including medical supplies. According to NHI guideline, reinforced tube is not indicated in our case, limiting its use.

In this case, we believe that three factors were involved in the kinking of the ETT. First, the patient’s right lung was destroyed by pulmonary tuberculosis, and the airway was severely deviated to the right. It is possible that an abnormal force was applied to the right side of the PVC tube due to this deviation. Secondly, the patient was small in stature, and the pillow might have been relatively high, causing severe flexion. Also, at the beginning of the surgery, the operation table was tilted upward, which might have applied further force that led to kinking of the tube. Finally, if the oral temperature rises above 36°C, the PVC of the tube can soften and increase the risk of bending [6]. In this case, the body temperature during the operation was 36°C.

CONCLUSION

Factors such as anatomical variation e.g., tracheal deviation, position change during surgery, and thermal softening of the tube may act singly or in combination to cause endotracheal tube kinking. If the patient is in high risk, we strongly recommend using reinforced tube.
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